

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_113571
Title of the Manuscript:	Revolutionizing Research in Social Sciences: The Transformative Potential of ChatGPT
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. No, the alternative title is ChatGPT: A Game-Changer for Social Science Research Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. The subsections and structures are quite well but it could be better. More subsections are required as mentioned in optional/general comments. Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct Yes the references are sufficient and recent.	Thank you for providing constructive suggestions to enhance the quality of the paper. All of your points have been carefully considered, and we are committed to implementing the necessary improvements to elevate the overall quality of the document. Your valuable insights will undoubtedly contribute to making our paper more robust and impactful. We appreciate your thoughtful feedback and look forward to presenting an enhanced version of the paper based on your recommendations.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language quality of the article suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	The article should have Literature Review as one of its subtopics and this subtopic should have a table having columns author name, published year, methodology used and accuracy and at least 10 rows and consecutive references in the reference column for these 15 rows instead of mentioning few in paragraph in Introduction. Statistics can be shown with the help of table on how ChatGPT is revolutionizing research in social sciences.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	